## **EXHIBIT D**

1	Adam Wolek ( <i>pro hac vice</i> ) Taft Stettinius & Hollister LLP		
2	111 E. Wacker Drive, Suite 2800		
3	Chicago, Illinois 60601 Tel: 312.836.4063		
4	Fax: 312.966.8598 awolek@taftlaw.com		
5			
6	DAVID A. MAKMAN (SBN 178195) david@makmanlaw.com		
7	LAW OFFICES OF DAVID A. MAKMAN 655 Mariner's Island Blvd, Suite 306		
	San Mateo, CA 94404		
8	Telephone: (650) 242-1560 Facsimile: (408) 716-3052		
9	Attorneys Appearing in a Limited Capacity for		
10	Counter-Defendants Boon Global Limited, F8 Vietnam Company Limited, California Fitness &		
11	Yoga Centers, California Management Group,		
12	and Randy Dobson  UNITED STATES DISTRICT O	COURT	
13	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
14	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CAL	IFUKNIA	
15	PARKRIDGE LIMITED, a Hong Kong corporation, by		
16	Mabel Mak, and MABEL MAK, an individual,  Plaintiffs,	G N 16 05005	
	v.	Case No. 16-cv-07387	
17	INDYZEN, INC., a California corporation, and PRAVEEN	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING F8 VIETNAM	
18	NARRA KUMAR, an individual,  Defendants.	COMPANY LIMITED'S MOTION	
19		TO DISMISS INDYZEN'S PETITION TO COMPEL	
20	INDYZEN, INC., a California corporation, and PRAVEEN NARRA KUMAR, an individual,	ARBITRATION PURSUANT TO	
21	Counter-Plaintiffs,	FRCP 12(b)(2), 12(b)(3), AND (12)(b)(6)	
22	V.		
23	PARKRIDGE LIMITED, a Hong Kong corporation, BOON GLOBAL LIMITED, a Hong Kong corporation, F8	Date: February, 2018 Time: N/A	
24	VIETNAM COMPANY LIMITED, a Vietnam company,	Dept.: N/A Judge: Hon. Jeffrey S. White	
25	CALIFORNIA FITNESS & YOGA CENTERS, an entity of unknown form, CALIFORNIA MANAGEMENT GROUP,	Judge: Hon. Jeffrey S. White	
	an entity of unknown form, and RANDY DOBSON, an		
26	individual,  Counter-Defendants.		
27			

28

## [PROPOSED] ORDER

This matter having come before the Court on Counter-Plaintiff Indyzen, Inc.'s ("Indyzen") Petition to Compel Arbitration (the "Petition") as to F8 Vietnam Company Limited ("F8"), due notice having been given, and the Court being fully advised,

## IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- 1. F8's Motion to Dismiss the Petition is hereby GRANTED. This Court does not have personal jurisdiction and venue over F8 as required by Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 12(b)(2) and 12(b)(3). Furthermore, to the extent that this Court has jurisdiction, the Petition should also be dismissed pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6) for failure to properly state a claim. Indyzen's claims against F8 fall outside the scope of the arbitration clause agreed to by Indyzen and Parkridge Limited.
  - 2. F8 is dismissed from this matter with prejudice.

Dated:	
--------	--

HONORABLE JEFFREY S. WHITE UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE